Ī	Case 5:08-cv-01822-UA-PLA Document 3 Filed 12/09/08 Page 1 of 2 Page ID #:22
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	WW. DATE DATE AND
11	WILBUR PITTMAN,
12	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-08-2940 CMK P
13	VS.
14	PEARSON, et al.,
15	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
16	/
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant
18	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has not submitted a request to proceed in forma pauperis.
19	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on
20	diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all
21	defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events
22	or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject
23	of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is
24	no district in which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
25	In this case, none of the defendants reside in this district. The claim arose in
26	Riverside County, which is in the Central District of California. Therefore, plaintiff's claim
	1